IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 3165 of 1994

Between:

1 K. Gnaneshwar Rao s/o Satyanarayana
Audio Visual Research Centre, Osmania University, Hyderabad, r/o. Hyderabad.

2 Smt. K. Sreelatha w/o Dr. N. Krishnaiah
Audio Visual Research Centre, Osmania University, Hyderabad, r/o. Hyderabad.

.....
PETITIONERS

AND

The Osmania University, rep. by its Registrar, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ order or direction particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the respondent in distinguishing the Technicians working in Audio Visual Research Centre vis-a-vis other posts in the same University apart from other Universities especially where the University Grants Commission is willing to fund the petitioners' salaries as wholly illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory and violative of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India and further declaring that the petitioners are entitled to be paid the UGC pay scales from the date of their appointment as Technician and pass such other order or orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner : MR.M.PANDURANGA RAO

Counsel for the Respondent : MR.DEEPAK BHATTACHARJEE

The Court Made the Following :

ORAL ORDER :

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned Counsel for the respondent – Osmania University.

In the writ petition, the petitioners are challenging the action of the respondent University in not paying the U.G.C. pay scales.

In the additional counter-affidavit filed on behalf of the respondent, it is stated that during the pendency of the writ petition the University has awarded U.G.C. Scale to the petitioners with effect from 06-06-1996 vide letter dated 06-06-1996. Since the grievance of the petitioners is redressed, it is represented by the learned Counsel for the petitioners that no further adjudication is necessary in the writ petition.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is closed. No costs.

24th June, 2004.
gbs

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To
1. The Registrar, Osmania University, Hyderabad.

2..2CD copies

-